













## Would the Fully Enlightened Want to Reproduce?

February, 2007

Author	Message
<p><b>manjushri</b></p> <p>Joined: 08 Sep 2006 Posts: 146 Location: UK</p>	<p>Posted: Therapeutic Feb 01, 2007 5:01 pm Post subject: Would the Fully Enlightened Want to Reproduce?   </p> <hr/> <p>Hi Daniel &amp; Others ,</p> <p>here's a new thread in response to an issue I raised in the previous one! Daniel has stated before that people who are fully enlightened wouldn't want to have children (I'll come back with direct quotes later no time now - but I think I'm remembering this correctly!)</p> <p>I have a bit of a question mark hovering around this idea because I just don't think I'm close enough to full enlightenment to be sure what I'd feel about having children when I got there! I guess I can see that it might be the case but then again it might not.</p> <p>I'm interested to hear more from you Daniel about what's making you re think this and indeed what your rethought thoughts might be!.....</p> <p>Best wishes,</p> <p>Rebekah</p> <hr/> <p>'To be disillusioned is better than being under an illusion!'</p>
<p><b>kaleo</b></p> <p>Joined: 06 Oct 2006 Posts: 209</p>	<p>Posted: Thu Feb 01, 2007 6:14 pm Post subject:   </p> <hr/> <p>I'm thinking on this, Rebekah, but I want to add as a sort of corollary question:</p> <p>Recognizing we're not necessarily fully enlightened yet, what do you view as the right reasons to have a child?</p> <p>Kaleo</p>
<p><b>manjushri</b></p> <p>Joined: 08 Sep 2006 Posts: 146 Location: UK</p>	<p>Posted: Fri Feb 02, 2007 6:27 am Post subject:   </p> <hr/> <p>HI Kaleo- thanks for your response, I'm not quite sure If I've understood you correctly (before I go off on one!)</p> <p>Did you mean what do I think are the right reasons for having a child if one isn't fully enlightened?</p> <p>Best, Rebekah</p>
<p><b>Daniel Mackler</b> Site Admin</p> <p>Joined: 03 Aug 2006 Posts: 525 Location: New York City</p>	<p>Posted: Fri Feb 02, 2007 2:12 pm Post subject: Re: Would the Fully Enlightened Want to Reproduce   </p> <hr/> <p><b>manjushri wrote:</b></p> <div data-bbox="412 1667 1474 1839" style="border: 1px solid #ccc; padding: 10px;"> <p>Daniel has stated before that people who are fully enlightened wouldn't want to have children (I'll come back with direct quotes later no time now - but I think I'm remembering this correctly!)</p> <p>I'm interested to hear more from you Daniel about what's making you re think this and indeed what your rethought thoughts might be!.....</p> </div> <p>Hi Rebekah,</p>

A few thoughts. I think in the world as it is, there are simply too many people, and this is pretty obvious to all. I think a person who is more enlightened would realize this and realize that there are other and better ways to contribute positively to the evolution of the planet than to make more children...better ways, that is, to use the same energy that would otherwise be devoted to parenting a biological offspring.

And for starters, my experience is that the more healthy I have become – the more enlightened, that is – the less I have desired to have children, for this reason and others. Another reason is that I realize how much work it really takes to heal, and that becomes a devotion in and of itself.

I have trouble conceiving of a person in these modern times as having a child with a motive of really trying to benefit the world. If that were their motive so much they'd devote themselves more to healing their wounded inner child within.

The main motive, as I see it, that people nowadays have children - is to meet their own unmet needs. To use the child to make them happy.

Now, where I rethink my idea, Rebekah, and can restate it, is that if in a more healthy world, where overpopulation were not an issue, a more enlightened person might well want to have children. I think then it would be a very logical and healthy choice. But nowadays, no – there's too much other much more pressing work to be done.

That's the essence of my point of view. Hopefully I wasn't too circular...

-Daniel

---

Daniel Mackler

<http://iraresoul.com>

**kaleo**

Posted: Fri Feb 02, 2007 2:13 pm Post subject:

 quote  edit  ip

Joined: 06 Oct 2006  
Posts: 209

Yes. Or put a different way, can anyone here articulate enlightened reasons to have a child?

K

**Daniel Mackler**  
Site Admin

Posted: Fri Feb 02, 2007 2:15 pm Post subject:

 quote  edit  ip

Joined: 03 Aug 2006  
Posts: 525  
Location: New York City

**kaleo wrote:**

Recognizing we're not necessarily fully enlightened yet, what do you view as the right reasons to have a child?

Kaleo, hopefully it's okay that I jump in and answer your question...from my point of view.

I don't see there being a right reason for someone to have a child before they've become fully enlightened. To me it's a setup for acting out on the child – not fair to the child. Granted, this happens on a continuum (more enlightened = less acting out ; less enlightened = more acting out), but I see any acting out as inappropriate.

-Daniel

---

Daniel Mackler

<http://iraresoul.com>

**Daniel Mackler**  
Site Admin

Posted: Fri Feb 02, 2007 2:18 pm Post subject:

 quote  edit  ip

Joined: 03 Aug 2006  
Posts: 525

**kaleo wrote:**

Location: New York City

Yes. Or put a different way, can anyone here articulate enlightened reasons to have a child?

Sure. A desire to pass on the best of oneself, to share, to help develop a new and healthy generation, to give back, to contribute, to keep the best of beauty and spirit alive, to embrace the beauty of new life, etc.

But in this radically troubled world, I see many healthier ways to do this than by having children – and all the more so considering most people who have children essentially tie a knot around their own healing process (if they ever consciously had a healing process at all) once they procreate.

So to me these enlightened reasons for having children are more or less moot in our terribly troubled, overpopulated, and largely unenlightened world.

Best,  
Daniel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel Mackler

<http://iraresoul.com>

**manjushri**

Posted: Sat Feb 03, 2007 2:47 am Post subject:

 quote  edit  ip

Joined: 08 Sep 2006  
Posts: 146  
Location: UK

HI Kaleo Hi Daniel,

**Quote:**

Kaleo Wrote:

Yes. Or put a different way, can anyone here articulate enlightened reasons to have a child?

I have to say that I'm in agreement with Daniel over this one - There cannot be fully enlightened reasons for having a child if one isn't fully enlightened! How could there be? and if one's reasons are less than fully enlightened then they are, by definition, (and obviously to massively varying degrees!) for unconscious reasons which involve one's own unresolved childhood 'stuff'.

**Quote:**

Daniel wrote:

A desire to pass on the best of oneself, to share, to help develop a new and healthy generation, to give back, to contribute, to keep the best of beauty and spirit alive, to embrace the beauty of new life, etc.

Daniel I liked your proposals for enlightened reasons to have children but I have to say that I've heard those reasons alot from people who are nowhere NEAR enlightenment! I know you know this but what I mean is the only thing that would be different, in so far as the reasons to want to have a child for the enlightened versus the unenlightened would be that these would be both the conscious AND unconscious motives- I don't think the reasons would necessarily be that different its just there wouldn't also be all the unconscious stuff, lurking, ready to derail the conscious stated motives!

Best to all,  
Rebekah

\_\_\_\_\_  
'To be disillusioned is better than being under an illusion!'

**sara**

Posted: Sat Feb 03, 2007 4:03 pm Post subject:

 quote  edit  ip

Joined: 03 Feb 2007  
Posts: 10

Daniel, I have been following the discussions on this board for a while now, and I would like to react upon your enlightened reasons to have a child.

**Quote:**

A desire to pass on the best of oneself, to share, to help develop a new and healthy generation, to give back, to contribute, to keep the best of beauty and spirit alive, to embrace the beauty of new life, etc.

To me those words have a rhetorical sound.

I have to question them:

What would be the best of yourself?  
 How do you intend to pass it on?  
 What do you mean by 'share'?  
 How do you help develop?  
 What and how do you give back?  
 What and how do you contribute?  
 To keep the best of beauty and spirit alive????  
 Embrace the beauty of new life????

Sara

**manjushri**

Posted: Sun Feb 04, 2007 4:30 am Post subject:



Joined: 08 Sep 2006  
 Posts: 146  
 Location: UK

Hi Sara and welcome to the forum! 😊

I know you addressed your post to Daniel so I hope you won't mind if I respond in the meantime! I found your questions about Daniel's enlightened reasons to have children really stimulating and I have some thoughts about them and your questions which I'd like to share.

**Quote Sara:**

What would be the best of yourself?

Well if I were fully enlightened, that is had healed all my childhood pain and trauma I imagine I'd have a lot of good stuff inside me- complete self awareness and acceptance and therefore complete compassion for others for a start! It'd be pretty amazing as a child to grow up with a parent who was completely neurosis free wouldn't it!

**Quote:**

How do you intend to pass it on?

Everything about the way a completely enlightened person would be would, in effect, be passed on to the child, just as everything about unenlightened parents gets passed on to their children! Children absorb their parents attitudes both to themselves and to the child and this forms the basis for how the child feels about him or herself & the world!

**Quote:**

What do you mean by 'share'?

I don't know about Daniel but for me a child who had no emotional damage (which a child born to fully enlightened parents would be) would be a massive presence in the culture into which it was born! I know I'd have a lot to learn from a fully enlightened person if I ever came across one! For a fully enlightened person to have a child would also mean sharing their healed experience with someone who was unhurt in the first place! I also find sharing the world with a child to be a pretty amazing experience even from my current position and can only imagine it would be even more amazing were I fully enlightened!

**Quote:**

How do you help develop?

I think all parents help their children develop their varying degrees of emotional healthiness or pain. Daniel's reason I think you're referring to here was 'to help develop a new and healthy generation' so I would say that if there were sufficient numbers of children born to fully enlightened parents those unhurt children would have a lot to offer - in fact they would bring about massive and total change in the world.

**Quote:**

What and how do you give back?

One at least, of my own takes on this would be that a fully enlightened parent gives back to the world the culmination of the energy they invested in healing themselves in the form of a new and un hurt person! I realise I'm getting into some very hippy sounding ideas here but this is pretty mind-blowing stuff for me!

**Quote:**

What and how do you contribute?

I think this one I've answered above- a fully enlightened person who has not had to heal the agonies inflicted on it by unenlightened parents would be able to contribute things completely beyond my imagination ! A fully enlightened parent would play a massive role in the capacities of such a child.

**Quote:**

To keep the best of beauty and spirit alive????

I guess a fully enlightened person would be the best a human could be- a living embodiment of achieved human potential, who knows what they'd be able to achieve in and for the planet? I imagine they'd embody the beauty of the human spirit which to me is about our immense potential for goodness/love.

**Quote:**

Embrace the beauty of new life????

A newborn baby is such an extraordinarily amazing person, To be able to fully know that and be fully open to a baby (without the defended bits of myself getting in the way) would be a true embracing of that person- of their vulnerability and strength without unconsciously needing to change it to make it more bearable to my neurosis! To be able to let it be who it is without needing it to be something I'm lacking!

Wow! Thanks so much for your post Sara- it's really got me thinking about these ideas! I'll probably modify them as I think more on the themes but here's a first response!

Welcome again!

Rebekah

**sara**

Posted: Sun Feb 04, 2007 10:25 am Post subject:



Joined: 03 Feb 2007  
Posts: 10

Thank you Rebekah, for your reaction. I must say that, when reading all the stuff on this board, so far I only wanted to react with questions, as to why you all seem to be juggling with various concepts (especially the concept of enlightenment). I get lost in all this theorising, these endless reflections.

According to my dictionary enlightenment is also the **process** of understanding, let's say, life. In

real life there is no such thing as full enlightenment. I think it is a rather presumptuous concept to use in the context of healing from childhood trauma, even in the context of life itself.

You yourself say that if you were healed from childhood trauma you would have a lot of good stuff inside you, complete self-awareness. But I do have the impression that you do not really know what exactly this good stuff is.

Every parent who is in the process of healing from childhood trauma's will be a better parent than the ones he had. And that has consequences for his children. But I do not think there will be one single adult who will be able to heal his trauma's in such short notice! The concept of full enlightenment before having children is a rather childish dream which can never be realised. I would like to say to Daniel: boy, grow up!

Sara

**Daniel Mackler**  
Site Admin

Joined: 03 Aug 2006  
Posts: 525  
Location: New York City

Posted: Sun Feb 04, 2007 2:51 pm Post subject:



Hi sara – and welcome to the forum.

Let me answer your questions as best I can – though Rebekah did a fine job expressing what I meant.

The background first:

**Quote:**

Kaleo wrote: can anyone here articulate enlightened reasons to have a child?

I replied: A desire to pass on the best of oneself, to share, to help develop a new and healthy generation, to give back, to contribute, to keep the best of beauty and spirit alive, to embrace the beauty of new life, etc.

Then you wrote:

**sara wrote:**

To me those words have a rhetorical sound.

I'm a little confused here by what you mean by rhetorical. Insincere? Pretentious? False?

I didn't realize I was coming across that way! It certainly was not intended, because I meant every word I wrote (though I did write it quickly and off-the-cuff!).

**sara wrote:**

I have to question them:

What would be the best of yourself?

The best of one's true self – the best of one's deep inner qualities and gifts. I think it's a great thing to pass on one's gifts to others.

**sara wrote:**

How do you intend to pass it on?

Sharing, teaching, loving, nurturing, spending time with a person. I do this a lot anyway, but as a parent you have the greatest opportunity of all...

**sara wrote:**

What do you mean by 'share'?

To give deeply of oneself.

**sara wrote:**

How do you help develop?

By nurturing others. By witnessing and mirroring the best in them – in short, by loving in a mature way.

**sara wrote:**

What and how do you give back?

The best that was given to me and the best that I have learned to give to myself. To love deeply.

**sara wrote:**

What and how do you contribute?

By doing all the things I wrote about in the answers above. By really giving the best of yourself...

**sara wrote:**

To keep the best of beauty and spirit alive???? Embrace the beauty of new life????

I love Rebekah's answers to both of these – she says it as well as I could. To me a baby/child is the embodiment of beauty and spirit, and the job of a parent is to nurture it, and when we ourselves are fully enlightened (that is, have healed our full slate of traumas), we optimize our ability to nurture that same true spirit in others – and especially in one's own child. [/quote]

Hopefully I answered it clearly!

Best,  
Daniel

---

Daniel Mackler  
<http://iraresoul.com>

**Daniel Mackler**  
Site Admin

Joined: 03 Aug 2006  
Posts: 525  
Location: New York City

Posted: Sun Feb 04, 2007 3:15 pm Post subject:



**sara wrote:**

Thank you Rebekah, for your reaction. I must say that, when reading all the stuff on this board, so far I only wanted to react with questions, as to why you all seem to be juggling with various concepts (especially the concept of enlightenment). I get lost in all this theorising, these endless reflections.

Fair enough. I define enlightenment, however, very simply on my website (though it seems from your post that you've already read it): <http://iraresoul.com/fundamentals.html>

**sara wrote:**

According to my dictionary enlightenment is also the **process** of understanding, let's say, life. In real life there is no such thing as full enlightenment. I think it is a rather presumptuous concept to use in the context of healing from childhood trauma, even in the context of life itself.

Presumptuous because no one has (presumably) yet accomplished it? What's the crime in setting

the bar high? I think most people don't even realize there is a bar, and many don't even want to know. That keeps them comfortable in their stagnancy.

**sara wrote:**

You yourself say that if you were healed from childhood trauma you would have a lot of good stuff inside you, complete self-awareness.

The good stuff – my inner perfection – is already inside of me, it's just a question of consciously connecting to it, that is, healing the traumas that are blocking my connection with it.

**sara wrote:**

But I do have the impression that you do not really know what exactly this good stuff is.

I know a lot of it, and get glimpses of much of the rest. I've done a lot of healing already and see much more day to day, month to month, year to year. And I have a pretty good idea about what's to come, more or less.

**sara wrote:**

Every parent who is in the process of healing from childhood trauma's will be a better parent than the ones he had.

Makes sense in some ways, but I would say that sometimes the process of healing from childhood traumas is very ugly, and can kick up all sorts of painful and nasty feelings, and that children should not be subjected to this.

**sara wrote:**

And that has consequences for his children. But I do not think there will be one single adult who will be able to heal his trauma's in such short notice!

I think a huge amount of healing can be done in a matter of years, say ten or fifteen years, especially in an emotionally healthier environment.

**sara wrote:**

The concept of full enlightenment before having children is a rather childish dream which can never be realised.

Have you ever dreamed it? Maybe I will not realize this dream – which I do dream often – but who will suffer for me dreaming this dream, if that's what it proves to be? (And by the way, I think there's a good chance I'm going to accomplish it. Considering how far I've come already, I'm not going to call it impossible!) The more I dream it the more I keep my healing process alive, and keep pushing forward and devoting my life to this great process.

**sara wrote:**

I would like to say to Daniel: boy, grow up!

Ouch!

I don't have the best context for understanding where you're coming from, sara, but I do find it interesting that my whole philosophy is devoted to doing the very thing you suggest: growing up (not to mention trying to get others to do the same).

So what would you suggest instead? Perhaps a person should just quit their healing/growth process at some point, call it "enough," and get on with the business of having kids?

My experience is that few parents do much deep growth and healing after having children, if only because raising children, if done well, takes so much energy and devotion.

Best,  
Daniel

---

Daniel Mackler

<http://iraresoul.com>

**manjushri**

Posted: Sun Feb 04, 2007 4:56 pm Post subject:



Joined: 08 Sep 2006  
Posts: 146  
Location: UK

Hi Sara,

**Quote Sara:**

I must say that, when reading all the stuff on this board, so far I only wanted to react with questions, as to why you all seem to be juggling with various concepts (especially the concept of enlightenment). I get lost in all this theorising, these endless reflections.

It sounds like you don't see the benefit of thinking about concepts (theorizing) or it doesn't make sense to you. I find it really valuable- deeply provocative from a self therapy point of view and totally worthwhile in terms of helping me to clarify my own thoughts about a subject which I regard as the most important one on the planet!

**Quote:**

According to my dictionary enlightenment is also the process of understanding, let's say, life. In real life there is no such thing as full enlightenment.

You are probably right Sara in some senses, I've never met anyone who was fully enlightened! But I don't think it means something isn't worthwhile pursuing just because it doesn't exist yet! Also I think Daniel's definition of enlightenment is quite specific and not what one would find in a dictionary at all- it has little relation to other philosophical/religious definitions of enlightenment.

**Quote:**

I think it is a rather presumptuous concept to use in the context of healing from childhood trauma, even in the context of life itself.

This sounds like its down to your different understanding of the concept of enlightenment but in terms of working toward full resolution of childhood trauma its surely a worthwhile GOAL!

**Quote:**

You yourself say that if you were healed from childhood trauma you would have a lot of good stuff inside you, complete self-awareness. But I do have the impression that you do not really know what exactly this good stuff is.

I have a pretty good idea of what full self awareness would be (at least in theory and some of it in practise too!) but its certainly not complete- there's alot I don't know obviously!

**Quote:**

Every parent who is in the process of healing from childhood trauma's will be a better parent than the ones he had.

I agree! But given the places I've been to during my healing process I don't think a parents healing is best done in front of a child.

**Quote:**

But I do not think there will be one single adult who will be able to heal his trauma's in such short notice!

I'm not following you here, what short notice do you mean? Just to try to heal *before* inflicting an unhealed parent on a new person, their child? I find it strange that anyone would deliberately want to inflict harm on a child if they were in any way seriously concerned with healing themselves! To me choosing to have a child knowing that I'd cause that child pain because I wasn't fully healed is abusive and not something I'd consider doing.

**Quote:**

I would like to say to Daniel: boy, grow up!

Can you explain in what way you find Daniel's work here to be immature? 😊

Best wishes,

Rebekah

**sara**

Joined: 03 Feb 2007  
Posts: 10

Posted: Fri Feb 09, 2007 10:23 am Post subject:

 quote  edit  

Daniel, I do have the impression that this whole enlightenment thing you are involved in, is an excuse for not having children. Having a child means having to interact with a child. And this interacting will never stop. You can promise it anything you want: love, the best you have inside of you, all your qualities, your true self, self conscience.... You say you can see the beauty, the purity of a child etc. etc. But that says nothing about how you will interact with your child once it's there. You said that your experience is that parents do not very much deep growth and healing after having children. That is the result of a serious shortcoming in the therapy method. You are a therapist! I suppose you have clients with children. If they come to you for help in their healing process, they must encounter endless problems in the relationship with their children. And that is one of those golden opportunities in therapy, because those problems can be used as a key to disclosing the truth about one's own childhood; disclosing it and learn about the mechanisms which lead to inadequate, inappropriate, faulty and abusive parental behaviour. That's the key for a change, for alternative parental behaviour. And that process is not abusive, on the contrary. Children who experience such parents learn a lot about human behaviour, they learn a lot about interaction processes. And most of all: they learn to differentiate. And that is what they need when they grow up and have to deal with others. Because those others do have the same problems their parents solve in the process of healing from childhood trauma. In that process those children will develop an extraordinary intelligence on all levels: socially, intellectually and emotionally. Because their parents do.

When I tell you: grow up, I mean to say that maybe you should start facing that you seem to be solely focused on freeing the self in order to reach full enlightenment. But full enlightenment does not make you a better parent. Having children and facing the problems you encounter in your relationship with them makes you a better parent and keeps your children healthy. Don't lose precious time!

Sara

**Daniel Mackler**  
Site Admin

Joined: 03 Aug 2006  
Posts: 525  
Location: New York City

Posted: Sat Feb 10, 2007 12:00 pm Post subject:

 quote  edit  

**Sara wrote:**

Part of me is mature. I do not think further than my body and my emotions let me, because if I try to theorise or reflect without physical and emotional consent I know I get lost in it. I do not want to get lost in theorising.

Sounds good to me. I agree with this for myself as well.

**sara wrote:**

Daniel, I do have the impression that this whole enlightenment thing you are involved in, is an excuse for not having children.

Interesting critique. Although I don't want to say you're entirely wrong (because there might be a grain of truth in what you're saying), I think the opposite is more likely true: that you are rejecting my point of view (or seeming to) as an excuse to not have to look deeper.

**sara wrote:**

Having a child means having to interact with a child. And this interacting will never stop. You can promise it anything you want: love, the best you have inside of you, all your qualities, your true self, self conscience.... You say you can see the beauty, the purity of a child etc. etc. But that says nothing about how you will interact with your child once it's there.

Partially true, but at the same time, I think a lot can be inferred about a person's parenting style from what they write before they have children.

**sara wrote:**

You said that your experience is that parents do not very much deep growth and healing after having children. Than that is the result of a serious shortcoming in the therapy method. You are a therapist!

You are both misreading and taking out of context what I wrote. First off, I wrote, "My experience is that few parents do much deep growth and healing after having children, if only because raising children, if done well, takes so much energy and devotion." I wrote "few" parents, not all parents. Some do a lot of healing work after having children. I think they are rare, though.

Second of all, and more importantly, I never wrote that my experience is based only on people that I see in therapy! I knows tons of people who are parents who are not my therapy patients, and I know tons of children outside of the therapy setting. I have been observing parents and children for years, most of it outside of therapy. Thus many of my observations say little or nothing about my therapy method.

**sara wrote:**

I suppose you have clients with children. If they come to you for help in their healing process, they must encounter endless problems in the relationship with their children. And that is one of those golden opportunities in therapy, because those problems can be used as a key to disclosing the truth about one's own childhood; disclosing it and learn about the mechanisms which lead to inadequate, inappropriate, faulty and abusive parental behaviour. That's the key for a change, for alternative parental behaviour. And that process is not abusive, on the contrary. Children who experience such parents learn a lot about human behaviour, they learn a lot about interaction processes.

Well said, and all true – to a degree. But you're still not acknowledging how much even these healing-oriented parents can be acting out on their children, and how inappropriate this is. Yes, better for a child to have a parent who is healing than a parent who is stuck, but my point is that it's better to have a parent who's done the bulk of his work (or ideally all of it) before having kids.

**sara wrote:**

When I tell you: grow up, I mean to say that maybe you should start facing that you seem to be solely focused on freeing the self in order to reach full enlightenment. But full

enlightenment does not make you a better parent.

With all due respect, it seems you not understand what I mean by full enlightenment. Less traumatized people traumatize others less, and nurture others more.

Perhaps you might explain better how you think someone who is more healed/less traumatized – more “enlightened,” according to my definition – would be a worse parent.

**sara wrote:**

Having children and facing the problems you encounter in your relationship with them makes you a better parent and keeps your children healthy. Don't lose precious time!

Sounds like a very limited viewpoint to me. So basically everyone should just go out and have kids, regardless of their situations, and just take it as it comes? What about people who are totally not equipped to have kids?

It sounds like you're not siding much with the child, or recognizing the deeper needs of the child.

Out of curiosity, what do you think of my “Baby's Manifesto”?

One last point, repeating something you wrote earlier:

**sara wrote:**

Part of me is mature. I do not think further than my body and my emotions let me ...

Would you care to speculate for me on the part of you that is not mature? (I would be willing to do the same myself.)

Best,  
Daniel

---

Daniel Mackler

[*Note:* sara did not reply.]